

Guy B. Wallace – 176151
 Mark T. Johnson – 76904
 Jennifer U. Bybee – 302212
 Travis C. Close – 308673
 Rachel L. Steyer – 330064
SCHNEIDER WALLACE
COTTRELL KIM LLP
 2000 Powell Street, Suite 1400
 Emeryville, California 94608-1863
 Telephone: (415) 421-7100
 Facsimile: (415) 421-7105
 Email: gwallace@schneiderwallace.com
 mjohnson@schneiderwallace.com
 juhrowczik@schneiderwallace.com
 tclose@schneiderwallace.com
 rsteyer@schneiderwallace.com

Gay Crosthwait Grunfeld – 121944
 Jenny S. Yelin – 273601
 Benjamin Bien-Kahn – 267933
 Brenda Muñoz – 328813
 Adrienne Spiegel – 330482
 Maya Campbell – 345180
ROSEN BIEN
GALVAN & GRUNFELD LLP
 101 Mission Street, Sixth Floor
 San Francisco, California 94105-1738
 Telephone: (415) 433-6830
 Facsimile: (415) 433-7104
 Email: ggrunfeld@rbgg.com
 jyelin@rbgg.com
 bbien-kahn@rbgg.com
 bmunoz@rbgg.com
 aspiegel@rbgg.com
 mcampbell@rbgg.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Certified
 Subclasses

(additional counsel for Plaintiffs on following page)

Erica Rutner – 344880
COZEN O’CONNOR
 1801 North Military Trail, Suite 200
 Boca Raton, Florida 33431-1810
 Telephone: (561) 245-6160
 Facsimile: (561) 245-6209
 Email: erutner@cozen.com

Michael Jacobsen* – Ill. Bar No. 6303584
SEYFARTH SHAW LLP
 233 South Wacker Drive, Suite 8000
 Chicago, Illinois 60606-6448
 Telephone: (312) 460-5000
 Facsimile: (312) 460-7000
 Email: mjacobsen@seyfarth.com

Justin T. Curley – 233287
SEYFARTH SHAW LLP
 560 Mission Street, 31st Floor
 San Francisco, California 94105
 Telephone: (415) 397-2823
 Facsimile: (415) 397-8549
 Email: jcurley@seyfarth.com

*admitted *pro hac vice*

Attorneys for Defendants

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION

STACIA STINER et al.,
 Plaintiffs,
 v.
 BROOKDALE SENIOR LIVING, INC. et al.,
 Defendants.

Case No. 4:17-cv-03962-HSG

FINAL JUDGMENT

Judge: Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.

1 (counsel continued from preceding page)

2 Kathryn A. Stebner – 121088

Brian S. Umpierre – 236399

3 **STEBNER GERTLER & GUADAGNI**

A Professional Law Corporation

4 870 Market Street, Suite 1285

San Francisco, California 94102-2918

5 Telephone: (415) 362-9800

Facsimile: (415) 362-9801

6 Email: kathryn@sgg-lawfirm.com

brian@sgg-lawfirm.com

7 David T. Marks – *pro hac vice*

8 **MARKS, BALETTE, YOUNG & MOSS**

7521 Westview Drive

9 Houston, Texas 77052

Telephone: (713) 681-3070

10 Facsimile: (713) 681-2811

Email: davidm@marksfirm.com

11 Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Certified Subclasses

1 By order dated October 24, 2025, which is incorporated herein by reference, this Court
2 granted Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of the Class Action Settlement, Plaintiffs' Motion
3 for Attorney's Fees, Costs, and Expenses, and Plaintiffs' Motion for Service Awards. ECF No.
4 1083. For the reasons stated in the October 24, 2025 Order,

5 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED as follows:

6 1. The Court hereby approves and enters the Stipulated Injunction attached hereto as
7 **Exhibit A**. The consideration, terms, and conditions of the Class Action Settlement, the
8 Stipulated Injunction, and the parties' Confidential Settlement of individual claims are hereby
9 incorporated in full into this Judgment, and the Court hereby enters judgment in this matter.

10 2. The Parties shall proceed with full implementation of the Class Action Settlement,
11 the Stipulated Injunction, and the Confidential Settlement Agreement, in accordance with the
12 terms and deadlines set forth therein and in this Final Judgment.

13 3. Defendants shall pay the \$5,000 service award payments to Plaintiffs and Subclass
14 Representatives Stacia Stiner, Bernie Jestrabek-Hart, and Jeanette Algarme (pursuant to
15 instructions to be provided by Plaintiffs' counsel) no later than December 23, 2025.

16 4. The Court awarded \$10,635,050.28 in attorney's fees to Plaintiffs' counsel, based
17 on an assessment that Plaintiffs' counsel's hourly rates and time claimed (after substantial billing
18 judgment reductions) were reasonable. The Court also awarded \$3,864,949.72 in costs and
19 expenses, for a total award to Plaintiffs' counsel of \$14,500,00. Defendants shall pay \$14,500,000
20 to Plaintiffs' counsel (pursuant to instructions to be provided by Plaintiffs' counsel) no earlier than
21 January 2, 2026, and no later than February 28, 2026.

22 5. Subject to fulfillment of all of the terms of the Class Action Settlement, the
23 Stipulated Injunction, and the Confidential Settlement, each and every Plaintiff and subclass
24 member will be permanently barred and enjoined from initiating, asserting, and/or prosecuting any
25 claim he or she released in any court or any forum. This Judgment will be binding on Defendants,
26 Plaintiffs, and as to claims released in the Class Action Settlement, all members of the Certified
27 Subclasses.

28 6. Without affecting the finality of the Judgment, the Court reserves jurisdiction over

1 the implementation, administration, and enforcement of this Judgment, the Class Action
2 Settlement, the Stipulated Injunction, the Confidential Settlement Agreement, and all matters
3 ancillary thereto.

4 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED.

5
6 DATED: 10/31/2025


Honorable Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.

Exhibit A

Guy B. Wallace – 176151
Mark T. Johnson – 76904
Jennifer U. Bybee – 302212
Travis C. Close – 308673
Rachel L. Steyer – 330064
**SCHNEIDER WALLACE
COTTRELL KONECKY LLP**
2000 Powell Street, Suite 1400
Emeryville, California 94608-1863
Telephone: (415) 421-7100
Facsimile: (415) 421-7105
Email: gwallace@schneiderwallace.com
mjohanson@schneiderwallace.com
juhrowczik@schneiderwallace.com
tclose@schneiderwallace.com
rsteyer@schneiderwallace.com

Gay Crosthwait Grunfeld – 121944
Jenny S. Yelin – 273601
Adrienne Spiegel – 330482
Maya Campbell – 345180
**ROSEN BIEN
GALVAN & GRUNFELD LLP**
101 Mission Street, Sixth Floor
San Francisco, California 94105-1738
Telephone: (415) 433-6830
Facsimile: (415) 433-7104
Email: ggrunfeld@rbgg.com
jyelin@rbgg.com
aspiegel@rbgg.com
mcampbell@rbgg.com

Kathryn A. Stebner – 121088
Brian S. Umpierre – 236399
**STEBNER GERTLER & GUADAGNI
A Professional Law Corporation**
870 Market Street, Suite 1285
San Francisco, California 94102-2918
Telephone: (415) 362-9800
Facsimile: (415) 362-9801
Email: kathryn@sgg-lawfirm.com
brian@sgg-lawfirm.com

David T. Marks – *pro hac vice*
**MARKS, BALETTE, GIESSEL
& YOUNG, P.L.L.C.**
7521 Westview Drive
Houston, Texas 77055
Telephone: (713) 681-3070
Facsimile: (713) 681-2811
Email: davidm@marksfirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Certified Subclasses
(*additional counsel on next page*)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION

STACIA STINER; RALPH CARLSON, in his
capacity as Trustee of the Beverly E. Carlson and
Helen V. Carlson Joint Trust; LORESIA
VALLETTE, in her capacity as representative of
the Lawrence Quinlan Trust; MICHELE LYTLE,
in her capacity as Trustee of the Boris Family
Revocable Trust; RALPH SCHMIDT, by and
through his Guardian Ad Litem, HEATHER
FISHER; PATRICIA LINDSTROM, as successor-
in-interest to the Estate of ARTHUR
LINDSTROM; BERNIE JESTRABEK-HART;
and JEANETTE ALGARME; on their own
behalfes and on behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

BROOKDALE SENIOR LIVING, INC.;
BROOKDALE SENIOR LIVING
COMMUNITIES, INC.; and DOES 1 through 100,
Defendants.

Case No. 4:17-cv-03962-HSG

**STIPULATED INJUNCTION AND
ORDER**

Judge: Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.

1 Erica Rutner (SBN 344880)
e.rutner@mooreandlee.com
2 John A. Bertino (VBN 93393) (Pro Hac Vice)
j.bertino@mooreandlee.com
3 **MOORE & LEE, P.C.**
110 SE 6th Street, Suite 1980
4 Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301
Telephone: (703) 940-3763
5 Facsimile: (703) 506-2051

6 Michael D. Jacobsen (IL SBN 6303584) (Pro
Hac Vice)
7 mjacobsen@seyfarth.com
SEYFARTH SHAW LLP
8 233 South Wacker Drive, Suite 8000
Chicago, Illinois 60606-6448
9 Telephone: (312) 460-5000
Facsimile: (312) 460-7000

10 Justin T. Curley (SBN 233287)
11 jcurley@seyfarth.com
SEYFARTH SHAW LLP
12 560 Mission Street, 31st Floor
Sacramento, California 94105
13 Telephone: (415) 397-2823
Facsimile: (415) 397-8549

14 Attorneys for Defendants
15 BROOKDALE SENIOR LIVING INC.
and BROOKDALE SENIOR LIVING
16 COMMUNITIES, INC.

1 This injunction (“Injunction”) is entered into and shall be enforceable against Brookdale
 2 Senior Living, Inc. and Brookdale Senior Living Communities, Inc. (collectively, “Brookdale” or
 3 “Defendants”).

4 As referenced herein, the term “Certified Brookdale RCFEs” means the following
 5 residential care facilities for the elderly (“RCFEs”) that are owned, operated, or managed by
 6 Brookdale: Brookdale Brookhurst, Brookdale Scotts Valley, and Brookdale San Ramon.

7 This Court has jurisdiction over the Parties and the claims asserted by the Plaintiffs in this
 8 action. Within thirty (30) days of the date the Court grants final approval of the Parties’ Class
 9 Action Settlement Agreement (“Class Agreement”) in this action, Brookdale shall begin
 10 implementing the following injunctive relief, except where a different timeframe is required by the
 11 Class Agreement:

12 ACCESS BARRIER CLAIMS

13 1. **Brookdale Brookhurst.** Defendants agree to bring the interior and exterior
 14 common areas of Brookdale Brookhurst into compliance with the 2010 Americans with
 15 Disabilities Act Accessibility Standards (“2010 ADAS”). Defendants also agree to make the
 16 following counts of each type of resident units at Brookdale Brookhurst fully compliant with the
 17 2010 ADAS, Section 223.3: 4 studio units, 4 one-bedroom units, 1 large one-bedroom unit, and 1
 18 one-bedroom two-bath unit. Defendants also agree to renovate an additional 3 studio units, 4 one-
 19 bedroom units, 1 large one-bedroom unit, and 1 one-bedroom two-bath unit to be compliant with
 20 the 2010 ADAS, Section 233, subject to any relevant exceptions for residential dwelling units set
 21 forth in the relevant 2010 ADAS provisions. All units being renovated pursuant to this Section
 22 shall provide a roll-in shower compartment that complies with the 2010 ADAS Section 608.2.2 or
 23 an alternate roll-in shower compartment that complies with the 2010 ADAS Section 608.2.3. If a
 24 resident or potential resident requires a 2010 ADAS, Section 223.3 compliant unit and no units of
 25 the type being considered by the resident or potential resident (*e.g.*, studio, one-bedroom, etc.) are
 26 available, Defendants, as long as they are operating the community, shall provide a 2010 ADAS,
 27 Section 223.3 compliant unit of the same type, either by renovating one of the 2010 ADAS,
 28 Section 223 units of the same type, if available, or by renovating any other vacant unit in

Case No. 4:17-cv-03962-HSG

1 Brookdale Brookhurst of the same type. For example, if a resident who requires a 2010 ADAS
 2 Section 223.3 compliant unit requests a studio and all four studios that have been made compliant
 3 with the 2010 ADAS Section 223.3 are occupied, Defendants will either renovate one of the three
 4 2010 ADAS Section 233 studio units to be compliant with Section 223.3 or they will renovate
 5 another studio unit to be compliant with Section 223.3.

6 2. **Brookdale San Ramon.** Defendants agree to bring the interior and exterior common
 7 areas of Brookdale San Ramon into compliance with the 2010 ADAS. Defendants also agree to
 8 make at least the following counts of each type of resident unit at Brookdale San Ramon fully
 9 compliant with the 2010 ADAS, Section 223.3: 3 studio units, 3 one-bedroom units. All of the units
 10 being renovated pursuant to this section shall provide a roll-in shower compartment that complies
 11 with the 2010 ADAS Section 608.2.2 or an alternate roll-in shower compartment that complies with
 12 the 2010 ADAS Section 608.2.3. Plaintiff Stacia Stiner shall be offered one of the studio units in
 13 the Brookdale San Ramon RCFE that Defendants have agreed to renovate to be compliant with
 14 2010 ADAS, Section 223.3, and she will be charged the same basic services rate for this renovated
 15 unit as she would owe for her current unit as of the date she moves into the renovated unit.

16 3. **Brookdale Scotts Valley.** Defendants agree to bring the interior and exterior
 17 common areas of Brookdale Scotts Valley into compliance with the 2010 ADAS. Defendants also
 18 agree to make at least the following counts of each type of resident unit at Brookdale Scotts Valley
 19 fully compliant with the 2010 ADA, Section 223.3: 5 studio units, 5 one-bedroom units, 1 two-
 20 bedroom unit, 1 combined-unit. All of the units being renovated pursuant to this section shall provide
 21 a roll-in shower compartment that complies with the 2010 ADAS Section 608.2.2 or an alternate
 22 roll-in shower compartment that complies with the 2010 ADAS Section 608.2.3. Plaintiff Bernie
 23 Jestrabek-Hart shall be offered one of the 2010 ADAS Section 223.3 renovated units at the Brookdale
 24 Scotts Valley RCFE that is either of like-type to her current unit or smaller than her current unit (*e.g.*, a
 25 studio unit), depending on preference and availability. Defendants will charge Plaintiff Bernie
 26 Jestrabek-Hart the same basic services rate for this renovated unit as she would owe for her current
 27 unit as of the date she moves into the renovated unit or, if she selected a smaller unit, the market
 28 rate for such unit.

1 4. Pursuant to applicable law, Defendants shall not require any resident who needs an
2 accessible room to pay for the remediation set forth in this Injunction. Defendants will not require
3 any resident at any Certified Brookdale RCFE who needs a modification to their unit to
4 accommodate his or her mobility and/or vision disability to pay for such modifications.

5 5. Pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 36.406(a)(5)(ii), “[n]ewly constructed or altered facilities
6 or elements covered by §§ 36.401 or 36.402 that were constructed or altered before March 15,
7 2012 and that do not comply with the 1991 Standards shall, on or after March 15, 2012, be made
8 accessible in accordance with the 2010 Standards.” Elements of Brookdale Brookhurst, Brookdale
9 San Ramon, or Brookdale Scotts Valley that comply with the 1991 Standards and have not been
10 altered since March 15, 2012 are not required to be brought into compliance with the 2010
11 Standards in accordance with 28 C.F.R. § 36.406(a)(5)(ii).

12 6. Except as otherwise provided in Section 8 below, Defendants shall complete the
13 access work specified in Sections 1, 2, and 3 within five years from the date the Court enters final
14 approval of this Injunction.

15 7. Defendants shall make a good faith effort to prepare designs and plans of the access
16 work set forth in Section 1, including the cost estimate for this work, no later than June 1, 2025.
17 Defendants shall make a good faith effort to prepare designs and plans of the access work set forth in
18 Sections 2 and 3, including the cost estimate for this work, within one year from the date the Court
19 enters final approval of this Injunction.

20 8. Defendants shall complete remediation of all barriers presumed to be readily
21 achievable in the U.S. Department of Justice’s Technical Assistance Manual within two years of
22 the date the Court enters final approval of this Injunction.

23 9. The Parties shall negotiate and agree upon a certified/licensed architect with a
24 CASp certification (the “CASp Architect”) to oversee the work described in Sections 1, 2, and 3.
25 If the Parties cannot agree on the CASp architect, one shall be appointed by the Court.

26 10. Prior to submitting plans to the local building department for approval, Defendants
27 shall submit such plans to Class Counsel and to the mutually-agreed upon CASp Architect. Class
28 Counsel shall submit all objections to the plans or designs thirty (30) days thereafter. Counsel for the

1 Parties shall meet and confer regarding any objections. Class Counsel, accompanied by Defendants'
2 Counsel and the CASp Architect, may inspect the completion of the work set forth in Sections 1,
3 2, and 3. Any revisionary work required by the CASp Architect will be completed within a
4 reasonable amount of time, as determined by the CASp Architect.

5 11. The deadlines and timeframes set forth in Sections 6, 7, and 8 are agreed to in good
6 faith and are contingent on conditions outside the Parties' control that may result in delaying the
7 plans, designs, and/or ability to complete the alterations. These conditions may include, but are not
8 limited to: (a) the failure of requisite third parties and governing authorities to approve of plans
9 and designs and/or to issue the necessary permits; (b) Acts of God, including flood, fire,
10 earthquake or explosion; (c) acts of war, invasion, terrorist threats or acts, riot or other civil unrest;
11 (d) national or regional emergencies; (e) strikes, labor stoppages or slowdowns, or other industrial
12 disturbances; (f) epidemic or pandemic; (g) shortage of adequate supplies and equipment; or (h)
13 shortage of power or transportation facilities.

14 12. Any and all alterations set forth in Sections 1, 2, and 3 of the Injunction are
15 conditioned on such alterations not diminishing the structural integrity of the respective Certified
16 Brookdale RCFEs and otherwise not being structurally infeasible, as determined by the CASp
17 Architect.

18 13. In addition to their obligations under sections 14, 15, and 16, Defendants will
19 notify Class Counsel of any change in owner/licensee/lessee as it pertains to any of the Certified
20 Brookdale RCFEs. In the event Defendants or their affiliates cease owning, managing, operating,
21 or leasing any of the Certified Brookdale RCFEs, Defendants agrees to offer the subsequent owner,
22 operator, manager, or lessor/lessee, as applicable, a capital expenditure credit in an amount that is
23 equivalent to the amount necessary to complete any remaining work contemplated by Sections 1,
24 2, and 3. The capital expenditure credit will be based on the design, scope, and cost to perform or
25 otherwise complete the respective work.

26 14. The Parties understand that Brookdale Brookhurst is a leased Brookdale RCFE and
27 that Defendants do not have control over the status of the Brookdale Brookhurst lease. Defendants
28 are engaged in good faith efforts, and will continue to engage in good faith efforts, to enter

1038797.15

4

Case No. 4:17-cv-03962-H

1 agreement with the landlord of Brookdale Brookhurst wherein the landlord agrees to either (a)
2 commit to making the changes required by this Injunction, using the capital expenditure credit as
3 referenced in Section 13, or (b) allow Defendants to oversee the completion of the work set forth
4 in Section 1. Plaintiffs will be designated as a third-party beneficiary to this anticipated agreement,
5 regardless of which option is chosen. However, if no agreement is reached by June 1, 2025,
6 Defendants shall deposit the amount of the capital expenditure credit referenced in Section 13 in
7 an interest-bearing escrow account under the jurisdiction of the Northern District of California no
8 later than July 1, 2025. The funds in that account shall be used exclusively for the remediation
9 described in Section 1, whether the work is performed by Defendants, the owner/landlord, or any
10 other entity. If the work cannot be completed within five years of July 1, 2025 due to factors
11 outside the Parties' control, the funds shall be returned to Defendants. If Defendants and the
12 landlord reach an agreement after July 1, 2025, but prior to the expiration of this five-year period,
13 then the escrow funds will be returned to Defendants to be used to complete the remediation work
14 referenced in Section 1.

15 15. Defendants agree to comply with the obligations set forth in Section 2 of this
16 Injunction so long as Defendants or their affiliates continue to own, operate, or manage Brookdale
17 San Ramon. If Defendants or their affiliates enter into a purchase agreement for the sale of
18 Brookdale San Ramon prior to the completion of the work referenced in Sections 2, Defendants
19 agree to either (a) complete the work referenced in Sections 2 prior to closure; (b) include in the
20 purchase agreement a provision that the purchaser will complete the work required by Section 2
21 by the timeframes set forth herein, and Plaintiffs shall be made a third party beneficiary of this
22 provision of the purchase agreement; or (c) include in the purchase agreement a provision that the
23 purchaser will allow Defendants to complete the work referenced in Section 2 by the timeframes
24 set forth herein, and Defendants will complete the work set forth in Section 2 during the
25 timeframes set forth herein.

26 16. Defendants agree to comply with the obligations set forth in Section 3 of this
27 Injunction so long as Defendants or their affiliates continue to own, operate, or manage Brookdale
28 Scotts Valley. If Defendants or their affiliates enter into a purchase agreement for the sale of

10/30/25

5

Case No. 4:17-cv-03962-HSG

1 Brookdale Scotts Valley prior to the completion of the work referenced in Section 3, Defendants
 2 agree to either (a) complete the work referenced in Section 3 prior to closure; (b) include in the
 3 purchase agreement a provision that the purchaser will complete the work required by Section 3
 4 by the timeframes set forth herein, , and Plaintiffs shall be made a third party beneficiary of this
 5 provision of the purchase agreement; or (c) include in the purchase agreement a provision that the
 6 purchaser will allow Defendants to complete the work referenced in Sections 3 by the timeframes
 7 set forth herein, and Defendants will complete the work set forth in Section 3 during the
 8 timeframes set forth herein.

9 **TRANSPORTATION CLAIMS**

10 17. Defendants agree that the current terms of the transportation policy known as the
 11 “Transporting Residents on Community Vehicles Policy” will remain in effect and will not be
 12 modified or altered in the future as it pertains to the provision permitting residents to remain on
 13 wheelchairs, scooters, or other powered mobility aids while being transported on a Brookdale
 14 RCFE vehicle (“Optional Transfer Provision”), consistent with the current language contained in
 15 the Transporting Residents on Community Vehicles Policy.

16 18. In the event applicable laws and/or regulations change such that the Optional
 17 Transfer Provision in the Transporting Residents on Community Vehicles Policy violates
 18 applicable laws and/or regulations, Defendants are expressly permitted to modify the terms of the
 19 Transporting Residents on Community Vehicles Policy in order to remain compliant with
 20 applicable laws and/or regulations.

21 **EMERGENCY EVACUATION CLAIMS**

22 19. To the extent not already included in the respective emergency evacuation plans for
 23 Brookdale San Ramon and Brookdale Scotts Valley, Defendants will incorporate the following
 24 elements in the emergency evacuation plans for these respective Brookdale RCFEs:

25 a. All of the elements required by Cal. Health & Safety Code § 1569.695, as
 26 provided for in the 2019 version of the LIC 610E form;

27 b. Identification of assembly areas at the respective Brookdale RCFEs that are
 28 accessible to persons with mobility and/or vision disabilities within the meaning of the 2010
 1088797

1 ADAS, which will be communicated to staff and residents;

2 c. Contracting with transportation services that are able to deploy, to the
3 extent available at the time of the subject evacuation, vehicles with the capacity to carry the
4 required mobility devices for residents at the respective Brookdale RCFEs, to be available at the
5 relocation site;

6 d. Possessing equipment and fuel sufficient for the respective Brookdale
7 RCFEs to be self-reliant in their provision of services to residents, including residents with
8 disabilities, for a period not less than 72 hours during a power outage;

9 e. Providing 24-hour notice to residents at the respective Brookdale RCFEs of
10 all emergency drills being conducted, which expressly communicate the opportunity for (but do
11 not require) resident participation in such drills;

12 f. Conducting a quarterly discussion at the respective Brookdale RCFE
13 Resident and Family Council meetings to explain the emergency procedures, obtain participant
14 feedback and, where possible, incorporate this feedback into future planning;

15 g. Maintaining a database of each resident's evacuation ability at the
16 respective Brookdale RCFEs, including the type of mobility device used, the unit/floor of
17 residence, and the identification of other known disabilities that may affect a resident's evacuation
18 ability, which is to be reviewed semi-annually and updated based on a change in resident
19 needs; and

20 h. Requiring that, at least once a year, an authorized and designated Brookdale
21 RCFE employee signs a statement, which Defendants will maintain, that the respective Brookdale
22 RCFE stairwell contains a working evacuation chair in the appropriate location and that staff have
23 been trained on the use of such evacuation chairs.

24 **STAFFING DISCRIMINATION CLAIMS & STAFFING CLAIMS**

25 20. Defendants will instruct all sales personnel and Executive Directors at Brookdale
26 Scotts Valley and Brookdale San Ramon to refrain from making any oral or written statements to
27 current or prospective residents (and, if applicable, family members or representatives of current
28 or prospective residents) that: (a) resident assessments are the only factor used to determine set
29

1 monitor staffing levels at these respective Brookdale RCFEs, and (b) these respective Brookdale
2 RCFEs adjust staffing levels whenever a new resident is admitted or an existing resident's needs
3 change.

4 21. Defendants will continue to include the following language in the version of the
5 California Residency Agreement currently approved by the California Department of Social
6 Services:

7 The care and services provided to you are based on your
8 health assessment and Personal Service Plan. The Personal
9 Service Plan is specific to the care and services provided to
10 Resident is not related to the care and services collectively
11 provided to other residents in the Community. We do not
12 make any express or implied warranties or representations
13 with regard to the care, services, and staffing offered, and
14 any such warranties and representations are expressly
15 disclaimed. We will make good faith efforts to provide the
16 care and services as indicated in your Personal Service Plan.
17 However, due to unforeseen circumstances, your care and
18 services may be provided at a different time or in a different
19 manner than indicated in your Personal Service Plan. You
20 further understand that any change in your Personal Service
21 Plan may not result in a change in the level of staff providing
22 care and services at the Community.

23 22. In setting staffing levels, personnel at Brookdale San Ramon and Brookdale Scotts
24 Valley will continue to consider and apply a reasonable determination of the staffing hours
25 reasonably required to perform the care tasks needed by the residents, as determined by the
26 assessment procedures, the experience and/or education of the staff, the ability of staff to perform
27 various tasks in parallel, the physical layout of the facility, and the reasonable discretion of the
28 Executive Director and/or department coordinators to ensure the appropriate amount of staff

4038757.1

1 Personnel at Brookdale San Ramon and Brookdale Scotts Valley will continue internal
2 monitoring procedures related to the above-referenced staffing levels.

3 23. On a semi-annual basis beginning six months after the entry of this Injunction, and
4 for a period of two years thereafter, Defendants will provide Plaintiffs' Counsel with an attestation
5 from the respective Executive Director or designee at Brookdale San Ramon and Brookdale Scotts
6 Valley verifying that staffing was provided at a level consistent with the respective Brookdale
7 RCFE's reasonable determinations of the staffing hours for personnel who provide direct care to
8 residents ("Care Staff"). This attestation shall also include the following information: (a) a
9 statement that the Executive Director or designee reviewed benchmarks, census, and actual
10 staffing data for the Care Staff at the respective Brookdale RCFE, including but not limited to
11 agency staffing, (b) identification of the source from which the staffing information came (*e.g.*,
12 punch detail or other payroll data), (c) whether the total staffing hours at the respective Brookdale
13 RCFE were below the Service Alignment benchmarks for Care Staff, for each month in the quarter
14 preceding the submission of the report, and (d) if the total staffing hours were below the Service
15 Alignment benchmarks for the Care Staff during any particular month in that quarter, an
16 explanation as to why. In the event Plaintiffs have concerns with the explanation of why staffing
17 levels were below the benchmarks during the quarter, the Parties shall meet and confer to discuss
18 same.

19 24. The Parties expressly understand and agree that any information provided pursuant
20 to Section 23 and/or exchanged in the meet and confer process shall remain confidential and shall
21 be used only for the purpose of enforcing the meet and confer and reporting provisions set forth in
22 Section 23 and not for any other purpose, including in connection with any future litigation. If
23 either Party contends the other Party is not meeting and conferring in good faith under Section 23,
24 that Party may seek court enforcement limited to the meet and confer and/or reporting obligations
25 under Section 23.

26 OTHER PROVISIONS

27 25. Nothing stated in this Injunction shall relieve Brookdale from complying with any
28 other applicable federal or state law or regulation.

27. The Parties shall meet and confer regarding any disputes related to the terms of this Injunction, and Defendants shall have at least 60 days to cure any conduct determined to deviate from said terms unless such deviation is due to conditions outside Defendants' control (*e.g.*, third party involvement). However, this provision shall not apply to the terms and provision set forth in Section 7 of this Injunction.

28. The Parties agree that the following events could prevent, limit, or delay Defendants' ability to meet the obligations set forth in this Injunction: (a) Defendants becomes insolvent or file for bankruptcy, (b) any change in applicable laws, rules, or regulations, or any order California's Department of Social Services or other governing body/enforcement agency, that conflicts with a provision of this Injunction, (c) any Brookdale RCFE that is the subject of this Injunction ceases being licensed as an RCFE, whether because the RCFE license is revoked or otherwise, or (d) events outside the Parties' control, including, but not limited to, (1) Act of God, including flood, fire, earthquake or explosion; (2) acts of war, invasion, terrorist threats or acts, riot or other civil unrest; (3) national or regional emergency; (4) strikes, labor stoppages or slowdowns, or other industrial disturbances; or (5) epidemic or pandemic. In such circumstances, Defendants' obligations under this Injunction will be limited with respect to the affected Brookdale RCFE the extent that the event necessitates such a limitation.

29. The Parties mutually agree not to retaliate against each other on account of their participation in the Lawsuit or on account of having aided or encouraged other residents to participate in the Lawsuit.

1 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

2 DATED: March 17, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

3 SCHNEIDER WALLACE COTTRELL KONECKY LLP

4
5 By: /s/ Guy B. Wallace

Guy B. Wallace

6
7 Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Certified Subclasses

8
9 DATED: March 17, 2025

ROSEN BIEN GALVAN & GRUNFELD LLP

10 By: /s/ Gay Crosthwait Grunfeld

11 Gay Crosthwait Grunfeld

12 Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Certified Subclasses

13
14 DATED: March 17, 2025

STEBNER GERTLER & GUADAGNI

15
16 By: /s/ Kathryn A. Stebner

Kathryn A. Stebner

17 Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Certified Subclasses

18
19 DATED: March 17, 2025

MARKS, BALETTE, GIESSEL
& YOUNG, P.L.L.C.

20
21 /s/ David T. Marks

David T. Marks

22
23 Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Certified Subclasses

1
2 DATED: March 17, 2025

MOORE & LEE, P.C.

3 By: /s/ Erica Rutner

4 Erica Rutner

5 Attorneys for Defendants BROOKDALE SENIOR
6 LIVING, INC. and BROOKDALE SENIOR LIVING
7 COMMUNITIES, INC.

8 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.
9

10 DATED: _____, 2025

11 Honorable Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27